Publication	Date				
Journal Article	published  March 2024	United States	Article that evaluates the impact of energy savings recommendations from the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE)-funded Industrial Assessment Center on air quality and human health. Uses BPK in the development of an Excel-based tool to estimate the emissions and health impacts of energy efficiency projects. For an energy efficiency project modeled in California and in New York, compares the health impacts derived from 2023-adjusted BPK values with the health impacts derived from separately running AVERT and COBRA.	https://link.springer.co m/article/10.1007/s120 53-024-10210-3	Safaei Kouchaksaraei, E., and Kelly, K. E. (2024). Air emission and health impacts of a US industrial energy efficiency program. Energy Efficiency 17(22).
Book Chapter	2023	United States	Book chapter discussing net energy metering and smart utility rate design. Provides a framework for determining the value of solar and references the BPK tool as a resource for evaluating avoided health costs. Describes how BPK suggests that the health benefits of noncombustion generation might exceed the cost of energy technologies.	https://www.sciencedir ect.com/science/article /abs/pii/B97804431559 18000127	Sioshansi, F., and McCann, R. J. (2023). Chapter 5 - Productive net metering reform: Where do the foundations of regulation, technological change, and good economics meet? In F. Sioshansi (Ed.), The future of decentralized electricity distribution networks (pp. 99–132).
Handbook	March 2022	United States	Handbook that includes BPK in a list of resources for calculating public health impacts from air emissions. Describes how to use BPK and how the values were developed.	https://www.nationale nergyscreeningproject. org/wp- content/uploads/2022/ 03/NSPM Methods- Tools-Resources.pdf	National Energy Screening Project (NESP). (2022). Methods, tools and resources: A handbook for quantifying distributed energy resource impacts for benefit-cost analysis.

Publication	Date				
type	published	Location	Summary	URL	Citation
Report	2021	Wisconsin, United States	Report written by the University of Wisconsin-Madison for the Public Service Commission of Wisconsin to assess barriers that prevent low-income and urban renters from accessing energy-efficient technologies and to make recommendations for program and policy changes that would improve service delivery to this vulnerable population. References BPK tool as a way to measure monetized public health benefits from reduced emissions.	https://lafollette.wisc.e du/research/addressing -energy-poverty-in- wisconsin- communities/	Downer, L., Leffin, S., McFarlane, M., and Schaefer, N. (2021). Addressing energy poverty in Wisconsin communities. Spring 2021 Workshop in Public Affairs.
Report	September 2021	United States	Report providing an overview of the BPK methodology. Demonstrates how air quality regulators and those working on renewable energy valuations can use BPK values. There is a full table of BPK values included in the appendix (page 18).	https://www.raponline. org/wp- content/uploads/2023/ 09/rap-seidman- shenot-lazar-health- benefits-by-kilowatt- hour-2021- september.pdf	Seidman, N. L., Shenot, J., and Lazar, J. (2021).  Health benefits by the kilowatt-hour: Using  EPA data to analyze the cost-effectiveness of  efficiency and renewables. Regulatory  Assistance Project (RAP).
Report	September 2021	New York, United States	Solar assessment for the Town of East Greenbush referencing BPK. Uses BPK values to estimate the health benefits of a 1 megawatt (MW) solar installation in New York.	https://www.eastgreen bush.org/application/fil es/1516/3958/4210/So lar Assessment for Ea st Greenbush- rev Nov21.pdf	Town of East Greenbush Conservation Advisory Council. (2021). Town of East Greenbush solar assessment.
Report	May 2021	Illinois, United States	Report guiding the Forest Preserves of Cook County in clean energy planning. Cites BPK as a method for estimating the public health benefits of energy efficiency programs in Illinois and references the Illinois example in the BPK flyer.	https://hdl.handle.net/ 2142/114313	Prairie Research Institute. (2021). Forest Preserves of Cook County clean energy framework. University of Illinois at Urbana- Champaign.

Publication	Date				
type	published	Location	Summary	URL	Citation
Report	April 2021	Northwest United States	Plan outlining Avista's resource strategy and planned procurements for the next 24 years. Cites BPK values as an option for estimating non-energy impact (NEI) benefits.	https://www.myavista.c om/- /media/myavista/conte nt-documents/about- us/our-company/irp- documents/avista- 2021-draft-electric- irp.pdf	Avista. (2021). Electric Integrated Resource Plan (17th ed).
Report	March 2021	Maine, United States	Report that cites EPA's report <i>Public Health Benefits</i> per kWh of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy in the United States to show that transitioning from fossil fuel resources to renewable resources can improve public health.	https://www.maine.gov /energy/sites/maine.go v.energy/files/inline- files/GEO State%20of% 20Maine%20Renewabl e%20Energy%20Goals% 20Market%20Assessme nt Final March%20202 1 1.pdf	Energy and Environmental Economics, Inc. and Applied Economics Clinic. (2021). State of Maine renewable energy goals market assessment.
Report	March 2021	Minnesota, United States	Report documenting status, emerging trends, and issues in Minnesota's energy supply, consumption, conservation, and costs. Uses BPK to demonstrate the value of health benefits for a solar array installed under Minnesota's Weatherization Assistance Program solar pilot program for low- and moderate-income customers.	https://mn.gov/comme rce- stat/pdfs/20210301 qu ad report.pdf	Minnesota Department of Commerce, Division of Energy Resources. (2021). Energy policy and conservation quadrennial report, 2020.
Resource List	March 2021	United States	List that includes BPK values in a list of resources that can help support cost effectiveness practices.	https://www.nationale nergyscreeningproject. org/wp- content/uploads/2021/ 03/Cost-Effectiveness- Testing-Resources- 3.25.2021.pdf	National Energy Screening Project. (2021).  Cost-effectiveness testing reports and studies on various impacts.

https://www.epa.gov/statelocalenergy/estimating-health-benefits-kilowatt-hour-energy-efficiency-and-renewable-energy-e

Note that links to some publications require a subscription.

Note that inclusion in this list does not necessarily constitute an endorsement of a publication or its methods.

Publication	Date				
type	published	Location	Summary	URL	Citation
Report	March 2021	New England, United States	Study that provides estimates of avoided costs associated with energy efficiency measures for program administrators throughout the New England states for purposes of both internal decision-making and regulatory filings. Uses BPK values to calculate non-embedded nitrogen oxide costs.	https://ma- eeac.org/wp- content/uploads/AESC- 2021.pdf	Synapse Energy Economics, Resource Insight, Les Deman Consulting, North Side Energy, and Sustainable Energy Advantage. (2021). Avoided energy supply components in New England: 2021 report. Prepared for AESC 2021 Study Group.
Report	February 2021	Illinois, United States	Annual report of the operation and transactions of the Illinois Power Agency (IPA). Uses BPK values to estimate the environmental benefits of the IPA's renewable resource procurements.	https://ipa.illinois.gov/c ontent/dam/soi/en/we b/ipa/documents/illinoi s-power-agency-fy- 2020-annual-report-(2- 16-21).pdf	Illinois Power Agency. (2021). Annual report: Fiscal year 2020.
Report	2020	United States	Report that uses BPK to estimate monetary health benefits due to avoided emissions from utility electric efficiency programs implemented. Estimates health benefits for the following regions: Great Lakes/Mid-Atlantic (\$219 million), Upper Midwest (\$97 million), Northeast (\$70 million), Southeast (\$53 million), Pacific Northwest (\$26 million), California (\$25 million), Southwest (\$22 million), Lower Midwest (\$14 million), Texas (\$10 million), and the Rocky Mountains (\$5 million).	https://energyefficiency impact.org/	American Council for an Energy-Efficient Economy, Alliance to Save Energy, and the Business Council for Sustainable Energy. (2020). Energy efficiency impact report.

Publication	Date				
type	published	Location	Summary	URL	Citation
Article	2020	North Carolina, United States	Article that cites BPK as a method to estimate the health benefits of better air quality from increasing clean energy in North Carolina. Lists some of the BPK values for the Southeast region to provide a range of 1.58–4.15 cents/kilowatt-hour (kWh) from improvements in outdoor air quality. (Note that the total range for the Southeast is slightly larger than what is included in this paper: 1.57–4.24 cents/kWh across all technology types for 2017.)	https://pubmed.ncbi.nl m.nih.gov/32900898/	Guidry, V. T., Thie, L., and Money, E. B. (2020). Health benefits of North Carolina's transition to clean energy. <i>North Carolina Medical Journal</i> , 81(5), 334–335.
Memorandum	2020	New Jersey, United States	Memorandum that cites BPK as a resource for estimating the public health benefits of weatherization. Includes the following BPK values for the Great Lakes/Mid-Atlantic Region in Table 3: 3.51–7.95 cents/kWh (uniform energy efficiency, 3% discount rate), 3.14–7.09 cents/kWh (uniform energy efficiency, 7% discount rate), 3.57–8.08 cents/kWh (energy efficiency at peak, 3% discount rate), and 3.19–7.21 cents/kWh (energy efficiency at peak, 7% discount rate).	https://www.nj.gov/bp u/pdf/NJ%20Cost%20T est%20Proposal.pdf	New Jersey Board of Public Utilities. (2020).  New Jersey cost test proposal.
Report	November 2020	United States	Report that demonstrates how implementing district-scale high-performance strategies can result in energy savings that increase affordability, improve resilience, reduce emissions, and foster economic development. Cites BPK as evidence that renewable energy installations provide health benefits.	https://www.nrel.gov/d ocs/fy21osti/78495.pdf	Polly, B., Pless, S., Houssainy, S., Torcellini, P., Livingood, W., Zaleski, S., Jungclaus, M., Hootman, T., and Craig, M. (2020). A guide to energy master planning of high-performance districts and communities. National Renewable Energy Laboratory.

Publication	Date				
type	published	Location	Summary	URL	Citation
Report	November 2020	United States	Resource for real estate owners and investors looking to develop or accelerate a sustainability program, as well as developers looking for ways to incorporate sustainability into their overall strategy. References BPK values in a list of resources for considering social equity, community, and workforce development.	https://knowledge.uli.org/- /media/files/research- reports/2020/uli- blueprint-for-green- real- estate.pdf?rev=c092aa 16cf6340c9a5e8c1a9c9 15d74f&hash=545CCEA 746EC5F1E5E555AA5F6 E58C4B	Urban Land Institute. (2021). The ULI blueprint for green real estate.
Working Paper	October 2020	United States	Paper that cites BPK as an option to estimate health impacts of increased emissions from the electric power sector due to electrification.	https://eelegal.org/wp- content/uploads/2020/ 09/LCOE2-for-posting- 9.17.2020.pdf	Tanton, T. (2020). Cost of electrification: A state-by-state analysis and results.
Report	August 2020	Midwest United States	Report that uses BPK to determine the monetized health impact of energy code adoption timing for new single- family homes in nine Midwestern states. Estimates cumulative health benefits for 2009–2019 for the following states: Illinois (\$3,062,096), Indiana (\$28,886,598), Iowa (\$2,848,878), Kentucky (\$13,991,926), Michigan (\$9,495,859), Minnesota (\$17,689,178), Nebraska (\$11,127,039), Ohio (\$16,816,393), and Wisconsin (\$25,953,523).	https://www.mwallianc e.org/sites/default/files /meea- research/documenting the expanding benefi ts of strong energy c odes.pdf?current=/taxo nomy/term/11	Burgess, C., and Westfall, N. (2020).  Documenting the expanding benefits of strong energy codes: How energy codes impact community health. Midwest Energy Efficiency Alliance.
Report	August 2020	United States	Resource and planning guide for small business owners and staff who want to increase the energy and water efficiency of their properties by creating and implementing a realistic and cost-effective energy improvement program. Highlights BPK report as tool for energy policy development.	https://www.energysta r.gov/buildings/tools- and-resources/energy- star-action-workbook- small-business	Energy Star. (2020). Energy Star action workbook for small business.

Publication	Date				
type	published	Location	Summary	URL	Citation
Report	August	United	Report that incorporates BPK values, estimating	https://eta-	Langevin, J., Satre-Meloy, A., and Fadali, L.
	2020	States	energy efficiency's public health benefits, into an	publications.lbl.gov/site	(2020). Attaching public health benefits to
			analysis of the cost savings potential of building	s/default/files/attachin	building efficiency measures at the national
			energy conservation measures. Concludes that	g public health benefi	and regional scales. In 2020 ACEEE summer
			accounting for the public health benefits increases	ts_to_building_efficien	study on energy efficiency in buildings.
			the cost savings potential of building efficiency	cy measures at the n	Lawrence Berkley National Laboratory.
			programs.	ational and regional s	
				<u>cales.pdf</u>	
Report	June 2020	Wisconsin,	Report that uses the BPK values for the Upper	https://focusonenergy.c	Cadmus. (2020). Focus on energy calendar
		United	Midwest and Great Lakes/Mid-Atlantic to estimate	om/sites/default/files/	year 2019 evaluation report: Volume III
		States	the non-energy benefits of energy efficiency and	WI%20Focus%20on%20	appendices. Prepared for Public Service
			renewable energy in Wisconsin by creating a	Energy%20CY%202019	Commission of Wisconsin.
			weighted average: 3.96–8.94 cents/kWh. Appendix F	%20Volume%20III.pdf	
			(page F-5) provides a detailed discussion of BPK		
			methodology.		
Public	June 2020	United	Letter from NAACP San Diego urging FERC to reject	https://www.biological	Maxwell, F. (2020). FERC must reject petition
Comment		States	the New England Ratepayers Association's (NERA)	diversity.org/programs/	endangering net metering and urgently-
			April 14, 2020, petition seeking federal jurisdiction	energy-	needed just transition to a clean and resilient
			over state net metering programs. Cites BPK values.	justice/pdfs/2020-6-	energy future (Docket EL20-42 - Petition for
				15_450-Groups-Letter-	Declaratory Order). NAACP San Diego Branch.
				to-FERC-re-NERA-	
				petition.pdf	
Report	May 2020	United	Report that cites the BPK values for the Southwest,	https://naseo.org/data/	Koewler, M. (2020). The value of adding home
		States	Great Lakes/Mid-Atlantic, and California to show the	sites/1/documents/pub	energy score to low-income energy efficiency
			potential health benefits of energy efficiency in	lications/HES%20for%2	programs. National Association of State
			those regions. There is a full table of BPK values	<u>OLMIv9.pdf</u>	Energy Officials.
			included in Appendix C (page 32).		

Publication	Date				
type	published	Location	Summary	URL	Citation
Comments	May 2020	United states	Comments that cite EPA's report Public Health Benefits per kWh of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy in the United States in a list of EPA Air	https://www.nrdc.org/s ites/default/files/media -uploads/2020-05-	Natural Resources Defense Council. (2020).  Comments of Natural Resources Defense Council on "Strengthening transparency in
			Actions relying on underlying scientific data that would be restricted from consideration by EPA's "Strengthening Transparency in Regulatory Science (Supplemental notice of proposed rulemaking)" (Table 1).	18 censoring science supplemental proposal  nrdc comments final. pdf	regulatory science (supplemental notice of proposed rulemaking)."
Report	May 2020	United States	Report that lists the BPK values as one option for estimating non-energy impacts of energy efficiency programs and provides a short summary of the BPK methodology and results.	https://escholarship.or g/content/qt1924c3g9/ qt1924c3g9.pdf?t=qbni eu	Sutter, M., Mitchell-Jackson, J., Schiller, S.R., Schwartz, L., and Hoffman, I. (2020). Applying non-energy impacts from other jurisdictions in cost-benefit analyses of energy efficiency programs: Resources for states for utility customer-funded programs. Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory.
Utility Filing	April 2020	Maryland, United States	Filing from a group of six electric utilities in Maryland that submitted an application to the Public Service Commission of Maryland for energy storage projects. The energy storage projects will displace power consumption during peak hours, and the application uses the energy efficiency at peak BPK values to estimate the health benefits of shifting from on-peak to off-peak hours.	https://webapp.psc.stat e.md.us/newIntranet/C asenum/submit_new.cf m?DirPath=//Coldfusio n/Casenum/9600- 9699/9619/Item_4\&C aseN=9619\Item_4 (second link, page 44)	Exelon Utilities. (2020). Application of joint Exelon Utilities for approval of energy storage pilot projects. Case No. 9616.

Publication	Date				
type	published	Location	Summary	URL	Citation
Comments	April 2020	Missouri, United	Comments suggesting that, in addition to considering the public health costs from continuing	https://static1.squaresp ace.com/static/5936d9	Sierra Club. (2020). Sierra Club's initial comments on Ameren Missouri's 2020
		States	to burn coal, Ameren should consider in the air quality and public health benefits of investments in replacement resources, including energy efficiency and renewable energy. The comments cite the BPK values as a resource for monetizing the benefits from these investments and explain that BPK is consistently updated to reflect public health impacts caused by energy efficiency and renewable energy.	8f6a4963bcd1ed94d3/t /5e8dd9e5c19cc97b1d c2b72e/158635466225 9/Sierra+Club+2020+A meren+IRP+Comments. pdf	integrated resource planning process.
Report	March 2020	United States	Report exploring states' role in better integrating locational value into distributed energy resources siting and development. References BPK values as a resource to estimate the health benefits of investments of renewable energy.	https://www.cesa.org/wp-content/uploads/State-Strategies-for-Valuing-DERs-in-Cost-Effective-Locations.FINAL .pdf	Hausman, N. (2020). State strategies for valuing distributed energy resources in cost effective locations. Clean Energy States Alliance.
Report	February 2020	Arizona, United States	Report that uses BPK to examine the health benefits from implementing a Renewable Energy Standard and Tariff (REST). Found that REST resources deployed from 2008–2018 yielded \$61 million and \$185 million in cumulative benefits for two Arizona utilities.	https://static1.squaresp ace.com/static/5f87218 31dd8c167b78e87b1/t/ 5ff10dc0a6a0ae63d6f7 5af4/1609633224868/A Z%2BREST%2B- %2BFinal%2BReport.pd f	Burgess, E., Roumpani, M., Davidson, M., Latapi, S., and Gorman, J. (2020). <i>Arizona</i> renewable energy and tariff: 2020 progress report. Prepared by Strategen Consulting for Ceres.
Presentation	December 2019	Nebraska, United States	Presentation about energy trends in Nebraska that includes BPK. One slide shows some of the BPK values for four of the regions (Northeast, Southeast, Mid-Atlantic, and Upper Midwest), and the slide states that the BPK values "make EE and RE much more cost-effective."	https://www.raponline. org/wp- content/uploads/2020/ 01/rap_colburn_seidm an_nebraska_trends_2 019_dec_17.pdf (inactive as of December 11, 2024)	Colburn, K. and Seidman, N. (2019). Energy in Nebraska: Trends and opportunities. Regulatory Assistance Project.

Publication	Date				
type	published	Location	Summary	URL	Citation
Blog post	November	United	Post that provides an overview of EPA's report <i>Public</i>	https://ilsr.org/could-	McCoy, M. (2019). Could the health benefits
	2019	States	Health Benefits per kWh of Energy Efficiency and	the-health-benefits-of-	of renewable energy cover your electric bill?
			Renewable Energy in the United States. There is a	renewable-energy-	Institute for Local Self-Reliance.
			full table of BPK values included in the blog post.	cover-your-electric-	
				bill/?utm_source=Ener	
				gy+Self-	
				Reliant+States&utm ca	
				mpaign=6a5ad34ae0-	
				Energy Self Reliant St	
				ates 1 12 151 8 201	
				5 COPY 01&utm medi	
				um=email&utm_term=	
				<u>0 86e661ed1e-</u>	
				6a5ad34ae0-82765397	
Blog post	October	United	Post that provides an overview of the BPK values	https://www.raponline.	Lazar, J., and Seidman, N. (2019). Value
	2019	States	and methodology. Includes BPK values for five	org/blog/value-added-	added: Measuring the health benefits of the
			regions: Northeast, Southeast, Mid-Atlantic, Upper	measuring-the-health-	"layer cake." Regulatory Assistance Project.
			Midwest, and Texas. It also includes for comparison	benefits-of-the-layer-	
			the costs that two specific utilities are paying for	cake/	
			new wind and solar resources: Xcel Wind (0–1.8		
			cents/kWh), and NV Energy Solar (0–2.1		
			cents/kWh).		