

---

No. 23-\_\_\_\_\_

---

**IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE EIGHTH CIRCUIT**

---

ENERGY TRANSFER LP,

*Petitioner,*

v.

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY;  
MICHAEL S. REGAN, Administrator,  
United States Environmental Protection Agency,

*Respondents.*

---

Petition for Review of a Final Rule of the  
Environmental Protection Agency

---

Aaron M. Streett  
Matthew L. Kuryla  
Beau Carter  
BAKER BOTTS L.L.P.  
910 Louisiana St.  
Houston, Texas 77002  
(713) 229-1855 (phone)  
(713) 229-7855 (fax)  
aaron.streett@bakerbotts.com  
*Counsel for Energy Transfer  
LP*

---

No. 23-\_\_\_\_\_

---

**IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE EIGHTH CIRCUIT**

---

ENERGY TRANSFER LP,

*Petitioner,*

v.

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY;  
MICHAEL S. REGAN, Administrator,  
United States Environmental Protection Agency,

*Respondents.*

---

**PETITION FOR REVIEW**

---

Under 42 U.S.C. § 7607(b)(1) and Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 15(a), Petitioner Energy Transfer LP petitions this Court for review of Respondents United States Environmental Protection Agency and Administrator Michael S. Regan’s promulgation of a federal implementation plan for Missouri regarding the interstate transport requirements of 42 U.S.C. § 7410(a)(2)(d)(i)(I) for the 2015 8-hour ozone national ambient air quality standard, titled “Federal ‘Good Neighbor Plan’ for the 2015 Ozone National Ambient Air Quality Standards,” 88 Fed. Reg. 36,654 (June

5, 2023) (“Final Rule”).\* *See* FED. R. APP. P. 15(a)(2)(C) (“The petition must . . . specify the order or part thereof to be reviewed.”). EPA has no authority to promulgate a FIP for Missouri unless and until it has lawfully disapproved Missouri’s state implementation plan. 42 U.S.C. § 7410(c)(1). This Court recently granted Missouri Petitioners a stay of EPA’s disapproval of Missouri’s state implementation plan. Order, *Missouri v. EPA*, No. 23-1719 (8th Cir. May 26, 2023); Order, *Union Elec. Co. v. EPA*, No. 23-1751 (8th Cir. May 26, 2023).

Jurisdiction and venue lie in this Court under 42 U.S.C. § 7607(b)(1). The challenged action’s promulgation of a FIP for Missouri is a “locally or regionally applicable” action and is not “based on a determination of nationwide scope or effect.” *See id.* This petition for review is timely filed within sixty days of the challenged action’s publication in the Federal Register. *See* 42 U.S.C. § 7607(b)(1). Petitioner requests that the Court vacate, set aside, and hold unlawful the EPA’s promulgation of the FIP for Missouri.

---

\* A copy of the Final Rule is attached to this petition.

August 3, 2023

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Aaron M. Streett

Aaron M. Streett

Matthew L. Kuryla

Beau Carter

BAKER BOTTS L.L.P.

910 Louisiana St.

Houston, Texas 77002

(713) 229-1855 (phone)

(713) 229-7855 (fax)

aaron.streett@bakerbotts.com

*Counsel for Energy Transfer*

*LP*

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

On August 3, 2023, this petition for review was served by Petitioner’s counsel by first-class mail, postage prepaid, upon the following:

The Honorable Merrick B. Garland  
Attorney General  
U.S. Department of Justice  
950 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW  
Washington, DC 20530

Michael S. Regan  
Administrator  
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency  
Mail Code 1101A  
William Jefferson Clinton Building  
1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW  
Washington, DC 20460

Todd Kim  
Assistant Attorney General  
U.S. Department of Justice  
Environment and Natural  
Resources Division  
RFK DOJ Building, Room 2143  
950 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW  
Washington, DC 20530-0001

Correspondence Control Unit  
Office of General Counsel (2311)  
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency  
1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW  
Washington, DC 20460

*/s/ Aaron M. Streett*  
*Counsel for Petitioner*