

TSCA Section 5(a)(3) Determination for Premanufacture Notice (PMN) P-18-0172

Number: P-18-0172

TSCA Section 5(a)(3) Determination: The new chemical substance is not likely to present an unreasonable risk (5(a)(3)(C)).

Chemical Name:

Specific: Calcium, carbonate 2-ethylhexanoate neodecanoate propionate complex

Conditions of Use (intended, known, or reasonably foreseen)¹:

Intended conditions of use (specific): Manufacture for use as an auxiliary drier for architectural paints, industrial coatings and stains, consistent with the manufacturing, processing, use, distribution, and disposal information described in the PMN.

Known conditions of use: Applying such factors as described in footnote 1, EPA evaluated whether there are known conditions of use and found none.

Reasonably foreseen conditions of use: Applying such factors as described in footnote 1, EPA evaluated whether there are reasonably foreseen conditions of use and found none.

Summary: The new chemical substance is not likely to present an unreasonable risk of injury to health or the environment, without consideration of costs or other nonrisk factors, including an unreasonable risk to a potentially exposed or susceptible subpopulation identified as relevant by the Administrator under the conditions of use, based on the risk assessment presented below. Although EPA estimated that the new chemical substance could be persistent, the substance has a low potential for bioaccumulation, such that repeated exposures are not expected to cause food-chain effects via accumulation in exposed organisms. Based on data on analogous chemical substances, EPA estimates that the new chemical substance has low environmental hazard and potential for the following human health hazards: liver and developmental effects and irritation. EPA concludes that the new chemical substance is not likely to present an unreasonable risk under the conditions of use.

¹ Under TSCA § 3(4), the term “conditions of use” means “the circumstances, as determined by the Administrator, under which a chemical substance is intended, known, or reasonably foreseen to be manufactured, processed, distributed in commerce, used, or disposed of.” In general, EPA considers the intended conditions of use of a new chemical substance to be those identified in the section 5(a) notification. Known conditions of use include activities within the United States that result from manufacture that is exempt from PMN submission requirements. Reasonably foreseen conditions of use are future circumstances, distinct from known or intended conditions of use, under which the Administrator expects the chemical substance to be manufactured, processed, distributed, used, or disposed of. The identification of “reasonably foreseen” conditions of use will necessarily be a case-by-case determination and will be highly fact-specific. Reasonably foreseen conditions of use will not be based on hypotheticals or conjecture. EPA’s identification of conditions of use includes the expectation of compliance with federal and state laws, such as worker protection standards or disposal restrictions, unless case-specific facts indicate otherwise. Accordingly, EPA will apply its professional judgment, experience, and discretion when considering such factors as evidence of current use of the new chemical substance outside the United States, evidence that the PMN substance is sufficiently likely to be used for the same purposes as existing chemical substances that are structurally analogous to the new chemical substance, and conditions of use identified in an initial PMN submission that the submitter omits in a revised PMN. The sources EPA uses to identify reasonably foreseen conditions of use include searches of internal confidential EPA PMN databases (containing use information on analogue chemicals), other U.S. government public sources, the National Library of Medicine’s Hazardous Substances Data Bank (HSDB), the Chemical Abstract Service STN Platform, REACH Dossiers, technical encyclopedias (e.g., Kirk-Othmer and Ullmann), and Internet searches.

TSCA Section 5(a)(3) Determination for Premanufacture Notice (PMN) P-18-0172

Fate: Environmental fate is the determination of which environmental compartment(s) a chemical moves to, the expected residence time in the environmental compartment(s) and removal and degradation processes. Environmental fate is an important factor in determining exposure and thus in determining whether a chemical may present an unreasonable risk. EPA estimated physical/chemical and fate properties of the new chemical substance using data for analogue(s) (fatty acid calcium salts) and it was assumed that dissociation would not be relevant under environmental conditions so the salt was assessed as one component. In wastewater treatment, the new chemical substance is expected to be removed with an efficiency of 90% due to sorption and biodegradation. Removal of the new chemical substance by biodegradation is moderate to high and destruction (mineralization) of the new chemical substance by biodegradation is partial to complete. Sorption of the new chemical substance to sludge, soil, and sediment is expected to be strong. Migration of the new chemical substance to groundwater is expected to be slow due to strong sorption to soil and sediment. Due to low reported vapor pressure, the new chemical substance is expected to undergo negligible volatilization to air. Overall, these estimates indicate that the new chemical substance has low potential to volatilize to air or migrate to groundwater.

Persistence²: Persistence is relevant to whether a new chemical substance is likely to present an unreasonable risk because chemicals that are not degraded in the environment at rates that prevent substantial buildup in the environment, and thus increase potential for exposure, may present a risk if the substance presents a hazard to human health or the environment. EPA estimated degradation half-lives of the new chemical substance using data for analogue(s) (fatty acid calcium salts). EPA estimated that the new chemical substance's aerobic biodegradation half-life is < 2 months and anaerobic biodegradation half-life is 2 to 6 months. These estimates indicate that the new chemical substance may have limited persistence in aerobic environments (e.g., surface water) and may be persistent in anaerobic environments (e.g., sediment).

Bioaccumulation³: Bioaccumulation is relevant to whether a new chemical substance is likely to present an unreasonable risk because substances that bioaccumulate in aquatic and/or terrestrial species pose the potential for elevated exposures to humans and other organisms via food chains. EPA estimated the potential for the new chemical substance to bioaccumulate using data for analogue(s) (fatty acid calcium salts). EPA estimated that the new chemical substance has low bioaccumulation potential based on bioconcentration or bioaccumulation data reported for fatty acid calcium salts. Although EPA estimated that the new chemical substance could be

² Persistence: A chemical substance is considered to have limited persistence if it has a half-life in water, soil or sediment of less than 2 months or there are equivalent or analogous data. A chemical substance is considered to be persistent if it has a half-life in water, soil or sediments of greater than 2 months but less than or equal to 6 months or if there are equivalent or analogous data. A chemical substance is considered to be very persistent if it has a half-life in water, soil or sediments of greater than 6 months or there are equivalent or analogous data. (64 FR 60194; November 4, 1999)

³ Bioaccumulation: A chemical substance is considered to have a low potential for bioaccumulation if there are bioconcentration factors (BCF) or bioaccumulation factors (BAF) of less than 1,000 or there are equivalent or analogous data. A chemical substance is considered to be bioaccumulative if there are BCFs or BAFs of 1,000 or greater and less than or equal to 5,000 or there are equivalent or analogous data. A chemical substance is considered to be very bioaccumulative if there are BCFs or BAFs of 5,000 or greater or there are equivalent or analogous data. (64 FR 60194; November 4 1999)

TSCA Section 5(a)(3) Determination for Premanufacture Notice (PMN) P-18-0172

persistent, the substance has a low potential for bioaccumulation, such that repeated exposures are not expected to cause food-chain effects via accumulation in exposed organisms.

Human Health Hazard⁴: Human health hazard is relevant to whether a new chemical substance is likely to present an unreasonable risk because the significance of the risk is dependent upon both the hazard (or toxicity) of the new chemical substance and the extent of exposure to the substance. EPA estimated the human health hazard of this chemical substance based on its estimated physical/chemical properties, available data on an analogue of a component of the new chemical substance, and by comparing it to structurally analogous chemical substances for which there is information on human health hazard. Absorption is expected to be nil via the skin for the neat material and poor to nil via the skin when in solution based on physical/chemical properties. Absorption is expected to be poor through the GI tract and lung based on physical/chemical properties. For the new chemical substance, EPA identified liver and developmental effects as hazards based on data on an analogue of a component of the new chemical substance and irritation based on the alkaline nature of the chemical substance. EPA identified a LOAEL of 100 mg/kg-day based on developmental effects which is protective for liver effects and was used to derive exposure route- and population-specific points of departure for quantitative risk assessment, described below. EPA qualitatively evaluated irritation effects.

Environmental Hazard⁵: Environmental hazard is relevant to whether a new chemical substance is likely to present unreasonable risk because the significance of the risk is dependent upon both the hazard (or toxicity) of the new chemical substance and the extent of exposure to the substance. EPA estimated environmental hazard of this new chemical substance using

⁴ A chemical substance is considered to have low human health hazard if effects are observed in animal studies with a No Observed Adverse Effect Level (NOAEL) equal to or greater than 1,000 mg/kg/day or if there are equivalent data on analogous chemical substances; a chemical substance is considered to have moderate human health hazard if effects are observed in animal studies with a NOAEL less than 1,000 mg/kg/day or if there are equivalent data on analogous chemical substances; a chemical substance is considered to have high human health hazard if there is evidence of adverse effects in humans or conclusive evidence of severe effects in animal studies with a NOAEL of less than or equal to 10 mg/kg/day or if there are equivalent data on analogous chemical substances. EPA may also use Benchmark Dose Levels (BMDL) derived from benchmark dose (BMD) modeling as points of departure for toxic effects. See <https://www.epa.gov/bmds/what-benchmark-dose-software-bmds>. Using this approach, a BMDL is associated with a benchmark response, for example a 5 or 10 % incidence of effect. The aforementioned characterizations of hazard (low, medium, high) would also apply to BMDLs. In the absence of animal data on a chemical or analogous chemical substance, EPA may use other data or information such as from in vitro assays, chemical categories (e.g., Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development, 2014 Guidance on Grouping of Chemicals, Second Edition. ENV/JM/MONO(2014)4. Series on Testing & Assessment No. 194. Environment Directorate, Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development, Paris, France. ([http://www.oecd.org/officialdocuments/publicdisplaydocumentpdf/?cote=env/jm/mono\(2014\)4&doclanguage=en](http://www.oecd.org/officialdocuments/publicdisplaydocumentpdf/?cote=env/jm/mono(2014)4&doclanguage=en))), structure-activity relationships, and/or structural alerts to support characterizing human health hazards.

⁵ A chemical substance is considered to have low ecotoxicity hazard if the Fish, Daphnid and Algae LC50 values are greater than 100 mg/L, or if the Fish and Daphnid chronic values (ChVs) are greater than 10.0 mg/L, or there are not effects at saturation (occurs when water solubility of a chemical substance is lower than an effect concentration), or the log Kow value exceeds QSAR cut-offs. A chemical substance is considered to have moderate ecotoxicity hazard if the lowest of the Fish, Daphnid or Algae LC50s is greater than 1 mg/L and less than 100 mg/L, or where the Fish or Daphnid ChVs are greater than 0.1 mg/L and less than 10.0 mg/L. A chemical substance is considered to have high ecotoxicity hazard, or if either the Fish, Daphnid or Algae LC50s are less than 1 mg/L, or any Fish or Daphnid ChVs is less than 0.1 mg/L (Sustainable Futures <https://www.epa.gov/sustainable-futures/sustainable-futures-p2-framework-manual>).

TSCA Section 5(a)(3) Determination for Premanufacture Notice (PMN) P-18-0172

predictions based on the negligible water solubility of the new chemical substance (Calcium compounds with a molecular weight adjustment-insoluble). Acute and chronic toxicity values estimated for fish, aquatic invertebrates, and algae are no effects at saturation. These toxicity values indicate that the new chemical substance is expected to have low environmental hazard. Because hazards are not expected up to the water solubility limit, acute and chronic concentrations of concern are not identified.

Exposure: The exposure to a new chemical substance is potentially relevant to whether a new chemical substance is likely to present unreasonable risks because the significance of the risk is dependent upon both the hazard (or toxicity) of the new chemical substance and the extent of exposure to the substance.

EPA estimates occupational exposure and environmental release of the new chemical substance under the intended conditions of use described in the PMN using ChemSTEER (Chemical Screening Tool for Exposures and Environmental Releases <https://www.epa.gov/tsca-screening-tools/chemsteer-chemical-screening-tool-exposures-and-environmental-releases>). EPA uses EFAST (the Exposure and Fate Assessment Screening Tool; <https://www.epa.gov/tsca-screening-tools/e-fast-exposure-and-fate-assessment-screening-tool-version-2014>) to estimate general population, consumer, and environmental exposures.

EPA considers workers to be a potentially exposed or susceptible subpopulation (PESS) on the basis of greater exposure potential compared to the general population. EPA also considers PESS in conducting general population drinking water exposures by evaluating risks associated with water intake rates for multiple age groups, ranging from infants to adults. EPA considers consumers of specific products to be a potentially exposed or susceptible subpopulation on the basis of greater exposure potential compared to the general population who do not use specific products.

For this assessment, EPA assessed worker exposure via inhalation and dermal contact. Releases to water, air, and landfill were estimated. Exposure to the general population was assessed via drinking water and ground water ingestion (due to landfill leaching). Exposure to the general population via fish ingestion and inhalation were not assessed because bioaccumulation potential was evaluated to be low and releases to air were expected to be negligible (below modeling thresholds). Exposures to consumers were assessed via dermal and inhalation contact.

Risk Characterization: EPA applies a margin of exposure approach to calculate potential human health risks of new chemicals. A benchmark (acceptable) margin of exposure is derived by applying uncertainty factors for the following types of extrapolations: intra-species extrapolation ($UF_H = 10$ to account for variation in sensitivity among the human population), inter-species extrapolation ($UF_A = 10$ to account for extrapolating from experimental animals to humans) and LOAEL-to-NOAEL extrapolation ($UF_L = 10$ to account for using a LOAEL when a NOAEL is not available). Hence, in the New Chemicals Program, a benchmark MOE is typically 100 and 1000 when NOAELs and LOAELs, respectively, are used to identify hazard. When allometric scaling or pharmacokinetic modeling is used to derive an effect level, the UF_H may be reduced to 3, for a benchmark MOE of 30. The benchmark MOE is used to compare to the MOE calculated by comparing the toxicity NOAEL or LOAEL to the estimated exposure concentrations. When the calculated MOE is equal to or exceeds the benchmark MOE, the new

TSCA Section 5(a)(3) Determination for Premanufacture Notice (PMN) P-18-0172

chemical substance is not likely to present an unreasonable risk. EPA assesses risks to workers considering engineering controls described in the PMN but in the absence of personal protective equipment (PPE) such as gloves and respirators. If risks are preliminarily identified, EPA then considers whether the risks would be mitigated by the use of PPE (e.g., impervious gloves, respirator).

Risks to human health for the new chemical substances were evaluated using the route-specific effect level (i.e., LOAEL) described above. Risks were not identified for workers for developmental or liver toxicity via dermal or inhalation exposure based on quantitative hazard data for 2-ethylhexanoic acid (MOEs > 1,208, Benchmark MOE = 1,000). Irritation hazards to workers via inhalation and dermal contact were identified based on the overbased alkaline nature of the new chemical substance. Risks for these endpoints were not quantified due to a lack of dose-response for these hazards. However, exposures can be mitigated by the use of appropriate personal protective equipment (PPE), including impervious gloves, eye protection, and respiratory protection. EPA expects that employers will require and that workers will use appropriate PPE consistent with the Safety Data Sheet prepared by the new chemical submitter, in a manner adequate to protect them.

Risks were not identified for the general population for developmental or liver toxicity via ingestion of drinking water or groundwater (due to landfill leaching) based on quantitative hazard data for 2-ethylhexanoic acid (MOEs > 379,000; Benchmark MOE = 1,000). Risks were not identified for the general population via fish ingestion or inhalation exposure because the bioaccumulation potential was evaluated to be low and inhalation exposures were expected to be negligible (below modeling thresholds). Irritation hazards to the general population are not expected via drinking water or ground water ingestion due to dilution of the chemical substance in the media.

Although consumer use was not identified as intended or reasonably foreseen conditions of use, consumer exposures were assessed for the same end use as described in the "intended use" - paints. Risks were not identified to consumers for developmental or liver toxicity via dermal or inhalation exposure based on quantitative hazard data for 2-ethylhexanoic acid (MOEs > 2,955; Benchmark MOE = 1,000). Irritation hazards to consumers via inhalation and dermal contact were identified based on the overbased alkaline nature of the new chemical substance and because the new chemical substance is present at 10% in the formulation. Risks for these endpoints were not quantified due to a lack of dose-response for these hazards. However, consumer use was not identified as a condition of use.

Due to low environmental hazard, EPA believes that this new chemical substance is not likely to present an unreasonable risk to the environment even if potential exposures were high.

Because worker exposures can be controlled by PPE, no unreasonable risks to the general population or environment were identified, and there are no expected consumer exposures, EPA has determined that the new chemical substance is not likely to present unreasonable risk to human health or the environment under the conditions of use.

TSCA Section 5(a)(3) Determination for Premanufacture Notice (PMN) P-18-0172

8/23/2019
Date:

/s/
Tala R. Henry, Ph.D.
Deputy Director for Programs
Office of Pollution Prevention and Toxics