

## TSCA Section 5(a)(3) Determination for Premanufacture Notice (PMN) P-18-0261

**Number: P-18-0261**

**TSCA Section 5(a)(3) Determination:** The chemical substance is not likely to present an unreasonable risk (5(a)(3)(C))

**Chemical Name:**

Generic: Metal, alkylcarboxylate oxo complexes

**Conditions of Use (intended, known, or reasonably foreseen)<sup>1</sup>:**

Intended conditions of use (generic): Manufactured as a polymer composite additive, consistent with the manufacturing, processing, use, distribution, and disposal information described in the PMN.

Known conditions of use: Applying such factors as described in footnote 1, EPA evaluated whether there are known conditions of use and found none.

Reasonably foreseen conditions of use: Applying such factors as described in footnote 1, EPA evaluated whether there are reasonably foreseen conditions of use and found none.

**Summary:** The chemical substance is not likely to present an unreasonable risk of injury to health or the environment, without consideration of costs or other nonrisk factors, including an unreasonable risk to a potentially exposed or susceptible subpopulation identified as relevant by the Administrator under the conditions of use, based on the risk assessment presented below. Although EPA estimated that the new chemical substance could be moderately persistent, the new chemical substance has low potential for bioaccumulation, such that repeated exposures are not expected to be cumulative. Based on estimated physical/chemical properties and test data on analogous chemical substances, EPA estimates that the chemical substance has moderate environmental hazard and potential for the following human health hazards: pulmonary effects. EPA concludes that the new chemical substance is not likely to present an unreasonable risk under the conditions of use.

---

<sup>1</sup> Under TSCA § 3(4), the term “conditions of use” means “the circumstances, as determined by the Administrator, under which a chemical substance is intended, known, or reasonably foreseen to be manufactured, processed, distributed in commerce, used, or disposed of.” In general, EPA considers the intended conditions of use of a new chemical substance to be those identified in the section 5(a) notification. Known conditions of use include activities within the United States that result from manufacture that is exempt from PMN submission requirements. Reasonably foreseen conditions of use are future circumstances, distinct from known or intended conditions of use, under which the Administrator expects the chemical substance to be manufactured, processed, distributed, used, or disposed of. The identification of “reasonably foreseen” conditions of use will necessarily be a case-by-case determination and will be highly fact-specific. Reasonably foreseen conditions of use will not be based on hypotheticals or conjecture. EPA’s identification of conditions of use includes the expectation of compliance with federal and state laws, such as worker protection standards or disposal restrictions, unless case-specific facts indicate otherwise. Accordingly, EPA will apply its professional judgment, experience, and discretion when considering such factors as evidence of current use of the new chemical substance outside the United States, evidence that the PMN substance is sufficiently likely to be used for the same purposes as existing chemical substances that are structurally analogous to the new chemical substance, and conditions of use identified in an initial PMN submission that the submitter omits in a revised PMN. The sources EPA uses to identify reasonably foreseen conditions of use include searches of internal confidential EPA PMN databases (containing use information on analogue chemicals), other U.S. government public sources, the National Library of Medicine’s Hazardous Substances Data Bank (HSDB), the Chemical Abstract Service STN Platform, REACH Dossiers, technical encyclopedias (e.g., Kirk-Othmer and Ullmann), and Internet searches.

## TSCA Section 5(a)(3) Determination for Premanufacture Notice (PMN) P-18-0261

**Fate:** Environmental fate is the determination of which environmental compartment(s) a chemical moves to, the expected residence time in the environmental compartment(s) and removal and degradation processes. Environmental fate is an important factor in determining exposure and thus in determining whether a chemical may present an unreasonable risk. EPA estimated physical/chemical and fate properties of this new chemical substance using data for analogous chemicals. The chemical substance is estimated to be removed during wastewater treatment with an efficiency of 90% via sorption and hydrolysis. The hydrolysis products are also estimated to be removed during wastewater treatment with an efficiency of 90% based on sorption and/or biodegradation. For the new chemical substance and the [claimed CBI] hydrolysis product, removal by biodegradation is estimated to be negligible based on data for large molecular weight polymers and data for metal oxides, respectively. For the alkylcarboxylate hydrolysis product, removal by biodegradation is estimated to be high based on data for [claimed CBI]. Sorption to sludge is estimated to be strong, and sorption to soil and sediment is estimated to be very strong, resulting in negligible migration to groundwater for both the new chemical substance and its hydrolysis products. Volatilization to air is estimated to be negligible because of the high molecular volume for the intact new chemical substance and based on analogues for the hydrolysis products. Overall, these estimates are indicative of low potential for this chemical substance and its hydrolysis products to volatilize into the air and a low potential for this chemical substance and its hydrolysis products to migrate into groundwater.

**Persistence<sup>2</sup>:** Persistence is relevant to whether a new chemical substance is likely to present an unreasonable risk because chemicals that are not degraded in the environment at rates that prevent substantial buildup in the environment, and thus increase potential for exposure, may present a risk if the substance presents a hazard to human health or the environment. Based on data for analogous chemicals, EPA estimated the hydrolysis half-life of the new chemical substance to be weeks. The hydrolysis products' anaerobic and aerobic biodegradation half-lives are estimated to be greater than months. These estimates for biodegradation indicate that the new chemical substance will not be persistent in aerobic environments (e.g., surface water) or anaerobic environments (e.g., sediment) and that the hydrolysis products may be very persistent in aerobic and anaerobic environments.

**Bioaccumulation<sup>3</sup>:** Bioaccumulation is relevant to whether a new chemical substance is likely to present an unreasonable risk because substances that bioaccumulate in aquatic and/or terrestrial species pose the potential for elevated exposures to humans and other organisms via

---

<sup>2</sup> Persistence: A chemical substance is considered to have limited persistence if it has a half-life in water, soil or sediment of less than 2 months or there are equivalent or analogous data. A chemical substance is considered to be persistent if it has a half-life in water, soil or sediments of greater than 2 months but less than or equal to 6 months or if there are equivalent or analogous data. A chemical substance is considered to be very persistent if it has a half-life in water, soil or sediments of greater than 6 months or there are equivalent or analogous data. (64 FR 60194; November 4, 1999)

<sup>3</sup> Bioaccumulation: A chemical substance is considered to have a low potential for bioaccumulation if there are bioconcentration factors (BCF) or bioaccumulation factors (BAF) of less than 1,000 or there are equivalent or analogous data. A chemical substance is considered to be bioaccumulative if there are BCFs or BAFs of 1,000 or greater and less than or equal to 5,000 or there are equivalent or analogous data. A chemical substance is considered to be very bioaccumulative if there are BCFs or BAFs of 5,000 or greater or there are equivalent or analogous data. (64 FR 60194; November 4 1999)

## TSCA Section 5(a)(3) Determination for Premanufacture Notice (PMN) P-18-0261

food chains. The new chemical substance and its hydrolysis products have low bioaccumulation potential based on slow hydrolysis of the new chemical substance, data for fatty acids in addition to metabolism for the alkyl carboxylate hydrolysis product, and data for metal oxides for the metal hydrolysis product, which limit bioavailability and bioaccumulation. Although EPA estimated that the hydrolysis products could be very persistent, the hydrolysis products have low potential for bioaccumulation, such that repeated exposures are not expected to cause food chain effects via accumulation in exposed organisms.

**Human Health Hazard<sup>4</sup>:** Human health hazard is relevant to whether a new chemical substance is likely to present an unreasonable risk because the significance of the risk is dependent upon both the hazard (or toxicity) of the chemical substance and the extent of exposure to the substance. EPA estimated the human health hazard of this chemical substance based on its estimated physical/chemical properties and by comparing it to structurally analogous chemical substances for which there is information on human health hazard. Expect poor to nil absorption through the lungs, skin, and GI tract based on physical/chemical properties. Concerns for lung overload were identified for processing and use scenarios due to the variable composition of the PMN that suggests components above a molecular weight of 10,000 g/mol and processing that may generate respirable poorly soluble particles.

EPA identified test data that could be used to quantitatively assess inhalation risk for process and use scenarios that may generate insoluble poorly respirable particles. For the new chemical substance, EPA identified a NOAEC of 4 mg/m<sup>3</sup> based on lung overload in a 90-day inhalation toxicity study described in the TSCA New Chemical Category for Respirable Poorly Soluble Particles, which was used to derive exposure route- and population-specific points of departure for quantitative risk assessment, described below.

**Environmental Hazard<sup>5</sup>:** Environmental hazard is relevant to whether a new chemical substance is likely to present unreasonable risk because the significance of the risk is dependent

---

<sup>4</sup> A chemical substance is considered to have low human health hazard if effects are observed in animal studies with a No Observed Adverse Effect Level (NOAEL) equal to or greater than 1,000 mg/kg/day or if there are equivalent data on analogous chemical substances; a chemical substance is considered to have moderate human health hazard if effects are observed in animal studies with a NOAEL less than 1,000 mg/kg/day or if there are equivalent data on analogous chemical substances; a chemical substance is considered to have high human health hazard if there is evidence of adverse effects in humans or conclusive evidence of severe effects in animal studies with a NOAEL of less than or equal to 10 mg/kg/day or if there are equivalent data on analogous chemical substances. EPA may also use Benchmark Dose Levels (BMDL) derived from benchmark dose (BMD) modeling as points of departure for toxic effects. See <https://www.epa.gov/bmds/what-benchmark-dose-software-bmds>. Using this approach, a BMDL is associated with a benchmark response, for example a 5 or 10 % incidence of effect. The aforementioned characterizations of hazard (low, medium, high) would also apply to BMDLs. In the absence of animal data on a chemical or analogous chemical substance, EPA may use other data or information such as from in vitro assays, chemical categories (e.g., Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development, 2014 Guidance on Grouping of Chemicals, Second Edition. ENV/JM/MONO(2014)4. Series on Testing & Assessment No. 194. Environment Directorate, Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development, Paris, France. ([http://www.oecd.org/officialdocuments/publicdisplaydocumentpdf/?cote=env/jm/mono\(2014\)4&doclanguage=en](http://www.oecd.org/officialdocuments/publicdisplaydocumentpdf/?cote=env/jm/mono(2014)4&doclanguage=en))), structure-activity relationships, and/or structural alerts to support characterizing human health hazards.

<sup>5</sup> A chemical substance is considered to have low ecotoxicity hazard if the Fish, Daphnid and Algae LC50 values are greater than 100 mg/L, or if the Fish and Daphnid chronic values (ChVs) are greater than 10.0 mg/L, or there are not effects at saturation (occurs when water solubility of a chemical substance is lower than an effect concentration), or

## TSCA Section 5(a)(3) Determination for Premanufacture Notice (PMN) P-18-0261

upon both the hazard (or toxicity) of the chemical substance and the extent of exposure to the substance. EPA estimated environmental hazard of this new chemical substance using hazard data on analogous chemicals. The new chemical substance does not fall within a TSCA New Chemicals Category. EPA estimated environmental hazard of this new chemical substance using predictions based on analogous nonionic polymers and concluded low environmental hazard (no effects at saturation). Based on these estimated hazard values, EPA concludes that this chemical has low environmental hazard. Because no effects are expected at any concentration soluble in water, no concentrations of concern were identified.

**Exposure:** The exposure to a new chemical substance is potentially relevant to whether a new chemical substance is likely to present unreasonable risks because the significance of the risk is dependent upon both the hazard (or toxicity) of the chemical substance and the extent of exposure to the substance.

EPA estimates occupational exposure and environmental release of the new chemical substance under the intended conditions of use described in the PMN using ChemSTEER (Chemical Screening Tool for Exposures and Environmental Releases <https://www.epa.gov/tsc-screening-tools/chemsteer-chemical-screening-tool-exposures-and-environmental-releases>). EPA uses EFAST (the Exposure and Fate Assessment Screening Tool; <https://www.epa.gov/tsc-screening-tools/e-fast-exposure-and-fate-assessment-screening-tool-version-2014>) to estimate general population, consumer, and environmental exposures.

EPA considers workers to be a potentially exposed or susceptible subpopulation (PESS) on the basis of greater exposure potential compared to the general population. EPA also considers PESS in conducting general population drinking water exposures by evaluating risks associated with water intake rates for multiple age groups, ranging from infants to adults. EPA considers consumers of specific products to be a potentially exposed or susceptible subpopulation on the basis of greater exposure potential compared to the general population who do not use specific products.

For this new chemical assessment, EPA assessed exposure to workers via the inhalation and dermal route. Exposure to the general population was assessed via fugitive and stack air. Risks were not assessed for the general population via ingestion because hazards were not identified for this exposure route. Exposures to consumers were not assessed because consumer uses were not identified as conditions of use.

**Risk Characterization:** EPA assesses risks to workers considering engineering controls described in the PMN but in the absence of personal protective equipment (PPE) such as gloves

---

the log Kow value exceeds QSAR cut-offs. A chemical substance is considered to have moderate ecotoxicity hazard if the lowest of the Fish, Daphnid or Algae LC50s is greater than 1 mg/L and less than 100 mg/L, or where the Fish or Daphnid ChVs are greater than 0.1 mg/L and less than 10.0 mg/L. A chemical substance is considered to have high ecotoxicity hazard, or if either the Fish, Daphnid or Algae LC50s are less than 1 mg/L, or any Fish or Daphnid ChVs is less than 0.1 mg/L (Sustainable Futures <https://www.epa.gov/sustainable-futures/sustainable-futures-p2-framework-manual>).

## **TSCA Section 5(a)(3) Determination for Premanufacture Notice (PMN) P-18-0261**

and respirators. If risks are preliminarily identified, EPA then considers whether the risks would be mitigated by the use of PPE (e.g., impervious gloves, respirator).

Risks to human health for the new chemical substance were evaluated using the route-specific effect levels (i.e., NOAEC) described above. Risks were identified for workers for lung effects from lung overload via inhalation ( $MOE_{respirable} = 38$ ;  $MOE_{total} = 13$ ; benchmark  $MOE = 100$ , fold factor = 3). Risks can be mitigated if exposures can be controlled by the use of appropriate, effective PPE including a respirator with an APF of 10.

Risks were not identified for the general population for lung effects from lung overload via inhalation (fugitive releases) based on quantitative hazard data for an analogue ( $MOE = 264$ ; benchmark  $MOE = 100$ ). Risks to consumers were not evaluated because consumer uses were not identified as conditions of use.

Risks to the environment were evaluated by comparing estimated surface water concentrations with the estimated acute and chronic COCs. Due to low environmental hazard, EPA believes that this chemical substance is not likely to present an unreasonable risk to the environment even if potential exposures were high.

Because worker exposures can be controlled by PPE, no unreasonable risks to the general population or environment were identified, and there are no expected consumer exposures, EPA has determined that the new chemical substance is not likely to present unreasonable risk to human health or the environment under the conditions of use.

11/20/2018

/s/

\_\_\_\_\_  
Date:

\_\_\_\_\_  
Jeffery T. Morris, Director  
Office of Pollution Prevention and Toxics